



**AMENDED - AGENDA - BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING**

Tuesday, February 28, 2006

Notice is hereby given that the Bluffdale City Council will hold a meeting Tuesday, February 28, 2006, at the Bluffdale City Hall, 14175 South Redwood Road, Bluffdale, Utah scheduled to begin promptly at 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible.

The Agenda will be as follows:

WORK SESSION – 5:00 – 6:00 P.M.

1. Discussion Concerning Proposed Development at Approximately 13850 South 1300 West (Chris McCandless).
2. Closed Meeting Pursuant to Utah Code Ann § 52-4-5 (1) (a), From 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.

REGULAR BUSINESS MEETING – 6:30 P.M.

1. Mayors Report.
2. Public Forum.
3. Staff Reports.
4. Approval of February 14, 2006 Meeting Minutes.
5. Consideration and Vote Regarding Resolution No. 2006-02 Settlement Agreement between Bluffdale City and Reagan Outdoor Advertising.
6. Consideration and Vote Regarding Approval of a Conservation Easement for Overlook Park Property in Spring View Farms Subdivision (Blaine Gehring).
7. Consideration and Vote Regarding Adoption of Ordinance No. 2006-02 Rezoning of Approximately 38.79 Acres of Property from SG-1 (Sand and Gravel) to Single Family Residential R-1-43 1200 West and 14600 South (former Black/Logan Gravel Pit), Shea Development, applicant (Blaine Gehring).
8. Planning Commission Report (Martha Speed).
9. Adjournment.

Dated this 24th day of February, 2006

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING NOTICE AND AGENDA WAS FAXED TO THE SOUTH VALLEY JOURNAL, THE SALT LAKE TRIBUNE, AND THE DESERET MORNING NEWS; POSTED AT THE BLUFFDALE CITY HALL, BLUFFDALE CITY FIRE STATION, AND THE COMMUNITY BULLETIN BOARD AT THE BLUFFS APARTMENTS; AND DELIVERED TO EACH MEMBER OF THE BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL



Teddie K. Bell, City Recorder

Note: The Bluffdale City Council will take a recess at approximately 9:30 p.m. and will evaluate the time needed to complete items not yet heard on the evening's agenda. Items the Council determines may take the meeting past 10:00 p.m. may be removed from the agenda and re-scheduled for the next regularly scheduled meeting.

In compliance with the American with Disabilities Act, Individuals needing assistance or other services or accommodation for this meeting should contact Bluffdale City Hall at least 24 hours in advance of this meeting at 254-2200.

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

Present:

**Members: Mayor Claudia Anderson
Craig Briggs
Jesse Kelley
Nancy Lord
Bill Maxwell
Martha Speed**

**Others: Brent Bluth, Administrative Services Director
Blaine Gehring, City Planner
Dale Gardiner, City Attorney (left at 7:25 p.m.)
Teddie Bell, City Recorder**

Mayor Claudia Anderson called the meeting to order at 5:15 p.m.

WORK SESSION

Discussion Concerning Proposed Development at Approximately 13850 South 1300 West.

Developer Chris McCandless reported that approximately one year earlier they had submitted a parcel of property forward as part of their 404 permit. The property was identified on the site plan map. Part of the permit was to create a five-acre conservation area known as the Sorensen Preserve. Its purpose was to create habitat. The proposed development comprised approximately 12 acres. The remaining property had a house on it, which was gutted and resold on a one-acre lot.

Mr. McCandless stated that a plan was presented at which time the Council instructed them to go back and talk to the contiguous neighbors. They wanted to make sure no one was landlocked and prevented from developing their property. That had since been done. The developers were left with five acres of property with a conservation easement on it. They spoke with adjoining property owner Ivan Neilson who was in favor of a stub road to his property, which would allow him to develop his 20 acres. During the planning, the South Valley Sewer District expressed interest in obtaining property to take large haul trucks on to Bangerter from the Utah Power and Light corridor.

Mr. McCandless later met with property owner Dave Anderson who was interested in selling his property, but did not want to move. Mr. McCandless' company offered to purchase Mr. Anderson's property and have him serve as the caretaker. Craig Briggs asked how much of Mr. Anderson's property was wetlands. Mr. McCandless estimated that wetlands comprise one acre of his property. He reported that they were on the fourth redesign of the project and stated that recently Salt Lake County received a \$300,000 grant. Arrow trailhead issues were discussed. Mr. McCandless remarked that the Arrow

BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006

trailhead doesn't have access to the Jordan River Parkway. He explained that the County needed to spend the money before June or lose it. The determination was made to build a trailhead without the trail. Mr. McCandless viewed that as an opportunity to solve a lot of problems. The property being discussed was identified on the map. He proposed that he be paid for half of the road improvements. They in turn would take that savings and put in a park and trail system. Their intent was to develop an equestrian based community that would be one of the only places to ride and trailer a horse on the Jordan River Parkway.

Bill Maxwell stated that the City had committed \$78,900 to the project. Mr. McCandless hoped to be able to save some of that. Trail issues were discussed. He hoped to expand the previously established five-acre habitat preserve. The concept was to increase its size and preserve the waterway. A bridge would be required if the trail were to go through the area. A separate parking lot would be constructed for vehicles. Maintenance would be performed by the County. He remarked that the term of the easement would be perpetual and that the proposed density would be 26 units on 40 acres. As a result, there was no need for open space or trails.

Mr. McCandless described their partnership with the Sewer District. He explained that there were 120 acres of property in the Jordan River Parkway area. Twenty acres would be used and the rest dedicated as open space. He invited input from the Council. Road access issues were discussed. The Mayor expressed concern with 1300 West and how vehicles will get in and out.

Craig Briggs suspected that after the initial construction is complete, that haul trucks would most likely be limited to 2 or 3 per day because of the processing system that will be installed.

In response to a question raised by Nancy Lord, Mr. McCandless stated that the smallest lot size would meet the existing R-1-43 ordinance. They would use a similar format to that developed in Spring View Farms. It would feature a gated entryway with 40 to 50 homes. The amenity package that was included was meant to create a look similar to Spring View Farms. The same stone pillars would be implemented as well as the same water feature. It would look like a private community with a private gate that would be welded open. He expected the home prices to be \$600,000 to \$1.2 million.

Emergency access issues were discussed. Craig Briggs suggested Mr. McCandless discuss the access issues with UDOT since they were protective of the access. The issue for Mr. McCandless was that from this point on, he would begin spending a significant amount of money and time. He noted that the project was not very profitable based on the density. In looking at the bigger picture, Craig Briggs did not know what other alternatives there were with the Sewer District. He remarked that he could not vote on the matter due to a conflict of interest. Alternative secondary accesses were discussed.

BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006

Nancy Lord was concerned that the Council was frequently asked to make decisions that are not in compliance with City ordinances. Rather than making exceptions each time, she thought it was better to look at changing the ordinance, if necessary, and then make an allowance for it. She did not think it was appropriate to do things that are not in harmony with the ordinance. Mr. McCandless had not read the ordinance, however, if an amendment to the ordinance were needed, he agreed to allow adequate time. He asked if the proposed 20-foot emergency access was in violation. Nancy Lord responded that the violation was trying to call it a cul-de-sac when it is really 3,000 feet long instead of 1,000.

In general, Council Member Lord thought the overall design was excellent for the space available. She thought it would be a wonderful addition to Bluffdale, but just didn't want to do it as an exception to the rule. City Planner, Blaine Gehring, explained that currently the secondary access was described as secondary until such time as a permanent one could be put in.

Community Developer Director, Brent Bluth, stated that there was enough flexibility written into the ordinance for the Council to make a determination. Mayor Anderson commented that if the 50-feet don't apply here, they won't apply elsewhere either. Mr. McCandless explained that their primary road system was 50-feet and the infrastructure system was in place. It was the secondary emergency access that would be limited. He believed the plan met the intent of the ordinance.

Brent Bluth stated that the flexibility involved what could be approved as a second access. He read from the ordinance that a secondary access shall be reviewed and approved in writing by the Fire Chief and the City Engineer. The second point of access must also provide continuous and unobstructed access to and from the subdivision until such time as a permanent access is completed. A second point of access may cross private property but must connect the subdivision to an improved right-of-way. He felt that flexibility was included to provide options.

In response to a question raised, Mr. Gehring stated that 20-feet was acceptable for a temporary access. The intent was to make it an emergency rather than temporary access. The same criteria would be followed and the City could grant it where needed. He explained that there were several instances on private lanes where there was no way to get a permanent secondary access out. The Mayor supported that idea as long as it is done Citywide.

The Mayor expressed concern with trying to get trailers down 1300 West and questioned how much it would cost to fix the road to make it work.

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

Closed Meeting Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 52-4-5(1)(a), from 6:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.

Martha Speed moved to go into closed session to discuss land acquisition and litigation. Bill Maxwell seconded the motion. Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

The City Council was in closed session from 6:05 p.m. to 7:11 p.m.

Martha Speed moved to come out of closed session. Jesse Kelley seconded the motion. Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

REGULAR BUSINESS MEETING

City Attorney, Dale Gardiner, reported on the disconnect lawsuit.

(Verbatim)

Dale Gardiner: In terms of legal jargon, what we call Judge Quinn's decision is a loss. He granted the disconnection and asked the other side to draft what they call a decree, which is equivalent to a judgment, for them to sign. Once he signs the decree of disconnection, the land will be disconnected and be in Salt Lake County, at which point they will then try to have it annexed into Herriman. So, what do we do? Well, there's two things that we do. First, we file a motion with Judge Quinn asking for what lawyers call the stay. It's a little more complicated than a loss, but not a lot. Essentially, what we are asking the Court is to preserve the status quo while this case is appealed to the Utah Supreme Court. If Judge Quinn denies the motion for a stay, then we make that same motion before the Utah Supreme Court. If the stay is granted, then the status quo will remain the same (i.e. no development will occur in that area until we feel it is resolved). If the stay is not granted, then development will occur in that area and it will just be a matter of how much development occurs during the time it is on appeal. The time it would be on appeal would be one year to a year and half – something like that. The second thing we will do is appeal the case to the Utah Supreme Court. Most of the issues that we will bring are what they call legal issues. For example, question number 1, which -- well the Utah Supreme Court may have _____, but I don't think the district court has. I don't think the State statute allows developers to take property out of one city and put it in another simply because they disagree with the city's zoning and plan decisions. I don't think it can be done. I don't think the statute authorizes that. So, we'll see if the Utah Supreme Court agrees with me or whether they'll educate me. Issue number 2 will be whether Judge Quinn had jurisdiction in the first place. I don't think a petition was correctly brought and I told you that many times. So, we'll see what the Utah Supreme Court has to say about that. I think Judge Quinn has been insolent and it is nonsense. So,

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

we'll see what the Utah Supreme Court has to say about that. I think his conclusion that the disconnection is viable is a misinterpretation of the statute. For the disconnection to be viable, it would have to meet the reasonable expectations of the property owners and all the testimony at trial was that if the land was bare ground it would not meet that expectation. So, we'll quarrel about that and we'll quarrel about other issues. But that is essentially what the appeal will be about. One thing that will be different about this appeal is that we have a layer in the referendum process that occurred in this litigation and basically the fundamental question has got to be answered by the Utah Supreme Court is when that happens, what do you do. Should you hold off a disconnection proceeding and see how the election turns out. This one would have been scheduled in June. That is one possibility. A second possibility is you can't do a disconnection until that is resolved. That is close to _____. Another possibility is you beat the heck out of the City with it and that is what he did, which I think is wrong. I particularly think that it is wrong where he claims that the City is dysfunctional. When we gave him the consent decree that would have protected the City and he turned me down flat. I don't see anything just or equitable about that. So, those are the sorts of issues and quarrels we're raising with the Utah Supreme Court.

(end of verbatim)

Nancy Lord asked if the referendum would still take place. Mr. Gardiner responded that the referendum was simply whether the City ought to have a special planning district zone in the zoning ordinance. The point was that they used the referendum process to label the City as dysfunctional. He quarreled with that notion since the City did everything it could to resolve it.

Craig Briggs asked Mr. Gardiner if he had any idea how much the continuing litigation would cost the City. Mr. Gardiner estimated that it would cost \$100,000.

Public Forum.

Laurie Maxfield gave her address 14439 Vantage Circle. She asked if the Council planned to address the citizens and see what the heartbeat of the community is right now with regard to the decision that was made. She hoped to see the Council and the Mayor approach the developers to see if they will accept the development agreement. She suggested they try to set a meeting for the community to participate and see if they change their mind. She thought with the education that had happened since the election, there were a lot of misconceptions about what the community really wanted. Earlier in the day she attempted to get on the City's web page to obtain information about tonight's meeting and noticed that it had not been updated since the election. She knew for a fact that the three who ran in the last election ran trying to get more information and communication to the citizens. They stated that doing so was a top priority and that it would continue to be. She believed it hadn't been. She suggested that the web page be updated so that citizens can find out what is going on in the community. Instead of

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

beautifying the fire station with furnishings, she thought the Mayor and Council ought to be educating the community.

Linda Crane gave her address as 14846 South 1690 West. She expressed her love for Bluffdale but expressed concern with the ruling and feared for Bluffdale's future. She had heard that the developers had refused to work with the governing body. She wondered if they could work together under a different form of government. Instead of a strong mayor form of government she suggested it be changed to a City Council and City Manager form of government. She thought that such a change may encourage the developers to come back to the table and negotiate. She also asked why the Planning and Zoning Meetings had been cancelled. In other cities she had noticed that the Planning and Zoning does all of the work and gets none of the credit. The Planning Commission brings to the Council what they feel is the solution. She was worried that Planning and Zoning was not being used the way it should be.

In response to Ms. Crane, Dale Gardiner stated that the developers' attorney, Bruce Baird, had been in Costa Rica and would be back in town the following day. At that time the two parties would meet.

Jon Nelson gave his address as 1506 Mountainside Drive. He stated that the web site was in a state of non-functionality because it had been left without password access. Staff was in the process of trying to solve the problem. Once solved, the web site would be updated. Bill Maxwell suggested possible alternatives. Mr. Nelson stated that the web host needed to be contacted in order to resolve the problem. Craig Briggs asked why that hadn't been done first. Mr. Nelson stated that he did not have the knowledge until this past week and expected that the situation could be taken care of in a couple of days. Mr. Bluth agreed to provide Mr. Nelson with the necessary phone numbers. It was suggested that updating the website be made a top priority.

Dale Gardiner was excused from the remainder of the meeting.

Staff Reports.

Craig Briggs remarked that at the last Council Meeting, more detail was requested to determine whether the City would save money or spend more in an attempt to outsource engineering services. Mr. Bluth responded that he received information earlier in the day but found it to be inaccurate. As a result, it was not brought forward.

Bill Maxwell gave a brief Horse Council report. He reported that the group met the previous Thursday and decided to cancel the horse pulling event for Town Day this year. In its place, they asked that the City buy a squeeze chute to facilitate the marking of cattle as they go through in the team penning. The group asked that they be provided with a place to display brochures and spot reserved on the bulletin board at the City offices. The estimated cost of the squeeze chute was \$1,600. With regard to parks, they asked that the

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

electrical tie in for the parks plan for an arena hookup later. They also asked for help with a temporary water solution. The group planned to obtain bids on what it would cost to sprinkle the arena and agreed to report the results to the Council. They also requested the use of the fire station bay for horse clinics during Town Days. Chief Roberts had no problem with the request.

Jesse Kelley thought \$1,600 was an excessive amount to pay for equipment to mark cattle. He asked if it could instead be done with a brush or spray. Doing so was determined to be difficult and dangerous. Johnny Loumis, Jr. commented that an auction would be held the following Saturday in Ogden where squeeze chutes would be for sale. He agreed to check on the cost. The two different types of squeeze chutes were described. Council Member Maxwell agreed to report back on the specific type of squeeze chute needed.

Mayor Anderson asked that Mr. Bluth make sure the necessary funds are available in the Town Days budget for the purchase. Methods of transferring money to purchase the needed equipment at auction were discussed. Mr. Loumis stated that a second auction would be held in the Spring in Spanish Fork and that generally, similar items are sold at both.

Bill Maxwell reported that \$3,000 was committed to the horse pull. The Horse Council asked that \$1,600 of that go toward the chute. Mr. Bluth explained that if the equipment were purchased at auction, the purchaser would be reimbursed.

Approval of February 14, 2006, Meeting Minutes.

Craig Briggs referred to page 27 which indicated that he was given the assignment of serving as the Town Days Chair. He clarified that he did not accept the assignment and asked that the minutes be edited.

Bill Maxwell referenced page 8, paragraph 2, and clarified his comments with regard to property taxes and the special service district. He stated that both could accomplish the same thing. He did not necessarily like one way better than the other.

City Recorder, Teddie Bell, referred to the date on the minutes of the closed meeting which mistakenly reflected February 24, instead of February 14.

Martha Speed moved to approve the minutes of February 14, with the corrections expressed. Bill Maxwell seconded the motion. Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

Consideration and Vote Regarding Resolution No. 2006-02 Settlement Agreement between Bluffdale City and Reagan Outdoor Advertising.

Martha Speed moved to approve Resolution No 2006-02, the settlement agreement between Bluffdale City and Reagan Outdoor Signs. Jesse Kelley seconded the motion.

Craig Briggs clarified that passing the resolution reverted the matter back to the Board of Adjustment.

Nancy Lord expressed concern with not knowing the history of the situation. She stated that apparently the question before the Council was not the same question that the Board of Adjustment dealt with previously. The Board of Adjustment minutes of Thursday, April 21, reflected that the Reagan representative "recognized and admitted at the outset that if the Board goes only by the ordinances on the books, it would be proper to deny the permit." She wanted to make sure that the City does not promise to do something that would be in violation of City ordinances. She was satisfied that by approving the resolution, the City was doing something that is in accordance with City ordinances. By approving the resolution she believed the Council was saying that they believe that if the variance is approved, it would be appropriate under City ordinances. They would in effect, be asking the Board of Adjustment to take a look at that and hopefully approve it.

Bill Maxwell had a slightly different understanding of the situation. He felt the Council would be stating that it does not meet the City ordinances and due to that fact, the body that can grant a variance is the Board of Adjustment. The matter was being sent to the Board of Adjustment to determine if they would be willing to grant a variance. The Council had been instructed that that was the group that should address the issue.

Craig Briggs clarified that the matter was not being sent to the Board of Adjustment with any type of recommendation.

Jesse Kelley remarked that the signs had been proposed for a certain area. Part of the settlement was that rather than placing two signs in an area not zoned for signs, they will have a sign in an area that the ordinances have deemed proper. The variance was actually in the height of the sign. The variance was due to the topography of the land.

Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

Consideration and Vote Regarding Approval of a Conservation Easement for Overlook Park Property in Spring View Farms Subdivision (Blaine Gehring).

Blaine Gehring presented the staff report and stated that as part of the Spring View Farms subdivision development, the City was deeded the property known as Overlook Park.

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

After it was decided to the City, they received a notice of back taxes owing as a result of pulling it out of green belt. Mr. McCandless was notified and performed some research. The City was told that the back taxes would be waived if the property was placed in a conservation easement. The City now owns the property and in effect, grants itself an easement onto the property. A conservation easement was placed on the property for the development of a park. Mr. McCandless provided a copy of an easement they had used for other properties with the County. It was provided to the City Attorney who drafted the easement document before the Council.

Craig Briggs moved to approve the conservation easement for the Overlook Park property in the Spring View Farms subdivision and authorize the Mayor to sign it. Nancy Lord seconded the motion.

Jesse Kelley wondered if it ought to be done by resolution. Mr. Gehring responded that doing so was not necessary.

Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

Consideration and Vote Regarding Adoption of Ordinance No. 2006-02 Rezoning of Approximately 38.79 Acres of Property from SG-1 (Sand and Gravel) to Single Family Residential F-1-43 1200 West and 14600 South (former Black/Logan Gravel Pit), Shea Development, Applicant (Blaine Gehring).

Blaine Gehring reported that in July of last year the Planning Commission and Council held a public hearing on the above matter. In consideration of the ordinance, the City did not have a legal description of the property being rezoned since it was within several combined parcels. The Council tabled the matter pending receipt of the legal description. Mr. Shea left that evening knowing that he needed to provide staff with the description. The matter fell by the wayside. Mr. Shea contacted Mr. Gehring a few weeks earlier and asked if the ordinance was ever passed. Mr. Gehring referred to the minutes and realized that the legal description was still outstanding at which time Mr. Shea provided it.

Bill Maxwell's understanding was that the request was only for a rezone. The map provided to the Council showed only one way in and one way out. It was clarified that the purpose of tonight's meeting was to approve the zone change. They were not approving a plat map or the specific subdivision as constituted. Mr. Gehring responded that the map provided was the only one he had showing the configuration of the property. Mr. Shea had been marketing the property and had decided to start the subdivision process. Staff expected to see something within the next two to three weeks to start that process. He noted that Mr. Shea was aware of the through access issue and would resolve it.

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

Bill Maxwell moved to approve the adoption of Ordinance 2006-02 to rezone the 38.79 acres of property from Sand and Gravel to Single Family Residential (R-1-43) at 1200 West and 14600 South, Shea Development applicant. Martha Speed seconded the motion. Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

Planning Commission Report (Martha Speed).

Martha Speed stated that the Planning Commission Meeting was cancelled. As a result, there was no report.

Mayor's Report.

The Mayor reported that she had been working on the park plan and numerous suggestions had been received from citizens. She invited additional input. The Mayor hoped to start on the park as soon as possible in order for it to be finished in time for Town Days. Copies of the park plan were distributed to the public. Volunteers were asked to put their names on a list. The matter was for discussion only.

Craig Briggs asked if the improvements had been budgeted for. Mayor Anderson stated that there were funds set aside for that purpose. Numerous volunteers had come forward to help in various ways such as installing sprinkling systems and offering the use of equipment. A water feature was donated as well as various park features.

Jesse Kelley suggested the information be posted on the web page.

Linda Crane asked if a derby would be supported this year. The Mayor was unsure at this point and stressed the need to find some one to Chair Town Days. Bill Maxwell mentioned that the Dymock's had left Saturday open for a derby.

Martha Speed questioned whether the park improvements could be completed in time for Town Days.

Laurie Maxfield noticed that the amphitheater was located right next to a residence on the plan. The Mayor responded that it had since been removed. Staff was searching for a more suitable location.


In response to a question raised about the Skate Park, the Mayor commented that the Youth Council voted it down and that the Council took their recommendation.

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

Adjournment.

Jesse Kelley moved to adjourn. Martha Speed seconded the motion. Vote on motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Jesse Kelley-Aye. The motion passed.

The meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.



Teddie K. Bell
City Recorder:

Approved: March 14, 2006

**BLUFFDALE CITY COUNCIL
CLOSED MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, February 28, 2006**

Present:

Members: Mayor Claudia Anderson
Craig Briggs
Jesse Kelley
Nancy Lord
Bill Maxwell
Martha Speed

Others: Brent Bluth, Administrative Services Director
Blaine Gehring, City Planner
Dale Gardiner, City Attorney (arrived at 6:55 p.m.)
Teddie Bell, City Recorder
Chris McCandless, CW Management
Tyler Roberts, CW Management
Kent Kohlhase, LDS Church Real Estate

At 6:05 p.m. Mayor Anderson called the meeting to order.


Motion: Martha Speed moved to go into closed session to discuss land acquisition and litigation.

Second: Bill Maxwell seconded the motion.

Vote on Motion: Craig Briggs-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye, Martha Speed-Aye, Nancy Lord-Aye, Bill Maxwell-Aye. The motion passed.

Land acquisition and litigation matters were discussed.

The City Council returned to the City Council chambers to resume the open portion of the meeting at 7:11 p.m.



Teddie K. Bell
City Recorder

Approved: March 14, 2006